

Athalon Filters

Evaluation of Pall Athalon™ Filter Elements and Competitor* Filter Elements

Pall Athalon coreless/cageless filter elements incorporate laid-over pleating, stress-resistant filter media, and anti-static construction. They have $\text{Beta}_{x(c)} > 2000$ particle removal ratings and low ISO Code ratings per SAE ARP 4205.

Representative competitors' filter elements were obtained and evaluated against equivalent Pall Athalon filter elements using international standards, where applicable. In addition, the physical construction and materials of each manufacturer's filter elements were analyzed.

Performance and Filter Construction


Performance Criteria	Procedure	Athalon Filter Element P/N: UE310AN08Z	Hydac ^(a) Filter Element P/N: 1.21.08 D07 RT	HyPro ^(b) Filter Element P/N: HP319L8-6EV	Parker ^(c) Filter Element P/N: 940917Q	Donaldson ^(d) Filter Element P/N: P573107
Ratings						
Manufacturer's Rating, µm(c)	-	7	7	6	7	7
Beta (Filtration) Ratio @ 7 µm(c)	ISO 16889	2660	30	900	320	700
Filter rating, µm(c) @ Beta = 1000	ISO 16889	6	12	7	9	8
Filter rating, µm(c) @ Beta = 2000	ISO 16889	7	12	n/a ¹	10	n/a¹
CST Filter Rating	SAE ARP 4205	13/9/4	20/17/7	16/13/3	16/13/2	19/16/1
Performance						
Fluid Cleanliness and performance consistency ²	SAE ARP 4205	4	1034	74	56	461
Differential Pressure Coefficient @ 32 cSt (psid/gpm)	ISO 3968	0.110	0.154	0.145	0.120	0.135
Cost of energy usage (\$/year) ³	-	499	554	543	512	531
Construction						
Effective Filtration Area (ft ²)	Pall LH002	5.0	3.1	3.8	4.2	3.9
Dirt holding capacity (DHC/ISO FTD)(g)	ISO 16889	40.3	28.2	33.1	16.9	31.3

Notes

- 1. Filtration curve flattens out after Beta 1000
- 2. Based on the number of >6 μ m(c) particles in the fluid at end of service life 3. Calculation of energy consumed to drive flow through the filter based on
- c. Calculation of energy consumed to drive flow through the filter based on element dirt loading curve, assuming equal service life and energy cost rate @ 0.191 US\$/kWh"
- Performance data for competitor filter elements are from one element tested per P/N, and may not be representative of typical performance.

A critical measure of a filter's performance is it's ability to sustain fluid cleanliness throughout its service life.

This graph compares an Athalon 7 μ m(c) rated filter to same size competitors' products with equivalent ratings.



Test Procedures

Manufacturer's rating: Filtration rating provided by the manufacturer. Filter elements are usually rated according to the ISO16889 standard. While this standard does not specify any guidelines for nomenclature of filter elements, many filter manufacturers publish filtration performance ratios ('Beta values') for their filter elements (e.g., $\beta = 75$, $\beta = 200$, $\beta = 1000$, or $\beta = 2000$) at a nominal µm(c) (ISO 11171) or µm (ISO 4402) or µm (ISO 4402) particle size and specify this in their part numbers and product brochures accordingly. If a filtration ratio is not provided, the manufacturer's rating is not meaningful.

When comparing filter elements one should do so at the same Beta value. Otherwise the filter rating could be misleading (e.g., a '5 μ m' filter element with a Beta value = 200 will not deliver the same filtration performance as a '5 μ m' filter element with a Beta value = 2000).

Beta (Filtration) Ratio (ISO 16889:2008 Multi-pass method for evaluating filtration performance of a filter element):

This standard specifies a test method to determine filtration performance under conditions that simulate recirculating (multi-pass) operating conditions, with continuous contaminant injection. The procedure measures filtration efficiency in terms of particle removal efficiency (ß ratio) and dirt holding capacity.

A higher ß rating (ß ratio) for a given particle size range indicates higher particle removal efficiency for that size range.

Cyclic Stabilization Test (CST) Filter Rating (SAE ARP 4205): CST measures the fluid cleanliness achieved by a filter under cyclic flow and dirt loading conditions. The CST rating, reported as an ISO Cleanliness Code, is more representative of performance under actual field conditions. Since CST ratings are reported as filter's performance at the end of a filter's service life, the CST provides a good measure of the filter's performance consistency.

Generally, filters perform well at removing particles to low ISO cleanliness levels at the beginning of their service life. A lower end of service life ISO Code for the target particle size indicates that a filter controls contamination more consistently throughout its life. Studies have shown that keeping fluids cleaner throughout a filter's service life can increase the mean time between failure (MTBF) for components in hydraulic and lube systems.

Fluid Cleanliness and performance consistency (particles per ml > 6 μ m_(c))

"Fluid cleanliness and performance consistency" is the ability of a filter element to maintain its level of performance over the service life of the filter element.

Clean Pressure Drop (ISO 3968:2001 Evaluation of differential pressure versus flow characteristics): The procedure specifies the method of measuring the differential pressure across a fluid filter element under various conditions of flow. Two standards of measurement are specified: class A - for accurate evaluation for reference purposes - requiring laboratory conditions; class B - for evaluation for general purposes - requiring test facilities less stringent than laboratory conditions. The present class for this evaluation was class A.

A lower differential pressure indicates lower energy consumption to pass a given volume of fluid across the filter.

Dirt Holding Capacity

Dirt holding capacity from the multi-pass test is often used as an indicator of filter service life. Unfortunately, dirt capacity alone is of little value in estimating filter service life or in relative service life of filter elements from different manufacturers. Realistic estimates and comparisons can be made only when test conditions, filter efficiency ratings, and details of field operating conditions where the filter will be applied are known. Coarser filters are typically expected to have a higher dirt holding capacity than finer filters.

Effective Filtration Area (Pall LH002): This procedure is used to measure the effective filtration area of filter cartridges. The area is calculated by measuring the length of the medium between the end caps of the filter element, the depth of the pleat and the number of pleats in the filter element, accounting for the side seal.

A filter element with a larger effective filtration area typically has more filtration medium and hence, a longer filter element service life.



25 Harbor Park Drive
Port Washington NY 11050
+1 516 484 3600 telephone
+1 800 289 7255 toll free US

Portsmouth - UK +44 (0)23 9233 8000 telephone +44 (0)23 9233 8811 fax industrialeu@pall.com

Filtration. Separation. Solution.sm



Visit us on the Web at www.pall.com

Pall Corporation has offices and plants throughout the world. For Pall representatives in your area, please go to www.pall.com/contact

Because of technological developments related to the products, systems, and/or services described herein, the data and procedures are subject to change without notice. Please consult your Pall representative or visit www.pall.com to verify that this information remains valid.

- © Copyright 2015, Pall Corporation. Athalon, Pall and PALL are trademarks of Pall Corporation.
- ® Indicates a trademark registered in the USA. Better Lives. Better Planet and Filtration. Separation. Solution.sm are service marks of Pall Corporation.
- (a) Hydac is a trademarks of Hydac Technologies GmbH (b) Hy-Pro Filtration is a trademark of Hy-Pro Filtration USA
- (c) Parker is a trademark of Parker-Hannifin Corporation USA
 (d) Donaldson is a trademark of Donaldson Company Inc. USA

M&EATHSUMEN Printed in the UK